

SOUTH WEST WILTSHIRE COMMUNITY AREA TRANSPORT GROUP 23rd JUINE 2021

	Item	Update	Action / Recommendation	Who
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	<p>Present:</p> <p>Apologies:</p>	<p>Cllr Bridget Wayman – Wiltshire Council (BW) – Chair Cllr Pauline Church – Wiltshire Council (PCH) Cllr Nick Errington – Wiltshire Council (NW) Dickie Winchester – Compton Chamberlayne Parish Council (DW) Tony Phillips – Fovant Parish Council (TP) Frank Freeman – Hindon Parish Council (FF) John Derryman – South Newton and Stoford Parish Council (JD) Jane Childs – Barford St. Martin Parish Council (JC) Steve Banas – Swallowcliffe Parish Council (SB) Richard Blamey – Teffont Parish Council (Sandra Harry – Tisbury Parish Council – (SH) Clare Churchill – Various Parishes – (CC) Bob Primmer – Wilton Town Council (BP) David Button – Wiltshire Council (DB) Paul Shaddock – Wiltshire Council (PS)</p> <p>Ansty Parish Council, Donhead St. Andrew Parish Council, Kilmington Parish Council, Mere Parish Council, Zeal Parish Council</p>		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
		<p>The minutes of the previous meeting were confirmed at the South West Wiltshire Area Board on 17th March 2021.</p>	<p>That the update is noted.</p>	<p>Area Board</p>

3.	Financial Position			
		As result of the administrative boundary review the annual South West Wiltshire (SWW) CATG budget allocation has received a small increase of £212 per annum. The annual allocation for the group now stands at £17,291. There is currently £17,647.16 still unallocated.	That the update is noted.	Area Board
4.	General Items			
a)	HGV's & Sat Nav's	BW commented that she had previously raised the issue with Baroness Scott who agreed to follow up in the House of Lords and with John Glen MP but given the ongoing response to the Coronavirus this matter was not currently a priority. She would report back to the group as and when any update was available.	That the update is noted.	BW
5.	Work Instructed			
a)	20mph Implementation Fovant	TP advised that the previously agreed remedial works to the 20mph speed restrictions had not yet been completed. PS stated he would contact TP to determine what works were outstanding and would chase Ringway to complete them. In addition to the remedial works the PC had requested the provision of additional playground warning signs as part of the scheme (Cllr Tony Deane when chair of the CATG agreed that the work could be taken forward). The PC have agreed to fund the provision of their signs in their entirety. Design work for the additional signs has been completed. Works order to be issued by the end June.	Determine the extent of outstanding 20mph speed limit remedial works and order the installation of the additional playground warning signs.	PS
b)	14-20-9 Swallowcliffe C316 Pheasant Copse & Mulberry House, SP3 5PE Village Gateway	The village gates have been installed. However, the PC have raised concerns with the forward visibility of one of the village gates and would like it to be repositioned closer to the edge of the carriageway. The group agreed to fund the repositioning of the village gate at an estimated cost of £992 (this figure includes a 25% contingency) subject to the PC agreeing to fund the 25% of the costs. (CATG Contribution £744 / PC Contribution £248)	That the Area Board approves the allocation of £744 to allow this work to be undertaken.	Area Board
c)	14-20-13 Tollard Royal Installation of feeder pillar and funding of electricity supply.	Funds paid to PC to enable SSE electrical works to be undertaken. Issues around the electrical installation requirements resolved. Standard wide base post programmed to be installed on 12 th July.	That the update is noted.	Area Board

6. Top 5 Priority Schemes				
a)	Issue 6547 Quidhampton Lower Road Traffic calming.	PC have considered proposals drawn up by Julie Watts. Site meeting undertaken with PC to discuss proposals and potential changes. Changes include the potential provision of a gateway feature at Skew Road entry to the 20mph speed limit, the potential provision of an additional traffic calming feature in the vicinity of locks lane and removal of the raised table outside the White Horse Pub to be replaced by a pedestrian crossing buildout. PC to undertake consultation with residents on proposals and report back to officers.	Arrange meeting with PC to discuss options and produced revised plans.	PS/PC
b)	14-19-3 Fovant – A30 Installation of Gateway on eastern approach.	The group initially agreed to proceed with the scheme at a cost of £12000 subject to the PC funding 50% of the works. The PC have since determined that they would prefer the new style of gateway without coloured surfacing therefore the reduced costs will be approximately £4000 and £3000 for the verge clearance. The PC have agreed to fund 50% of the cost of these works. Design work to commence now that the scheme has been made a Top 5 priority.	Design work to commence.	PS
c)	14-20-4 Hindon, Stops Hill Various traffic management measures.	Residents of Stops Hill and Chalk Lane have expressed serious concern about danger to road users, especially pedestrians, from speeding traffic travelling on the C25 to and from Hindon and Tisbury.	Arrange meeting with PC to discuss options and commence design work.	PS
d)	14-20-5 Tisbury Cove Cottage, Weaveland Road	Temporary sign has been erected please see Item 8A for more information. Meeting to discuss to possible TRO restrictions that could be introduced in Weaveland Road to be arranged with PC.	Arrange meeting with PC to discuss TRO options.	PS/PC
e)	Tisbury 20mph extension	The undertaking of traffic surveys is due to recommence in Wiltshire on the 21/06/2021 which will allow the 20mph speed restriction feasibility assessment to be undertaken. The group agreed to progress a 20mph speed restriction feasibility assessment in Tisbury to consider extending the existing 20mph scheme to include Vicarage Road, Duck Street, Cuffs Lane, Court Street, Park Road, The Avenue and Tisbury Row. The cost of the assessment remains £2500; SWW CATG £1500, Tisbury Parish Council £1000.	That the update is noted.	PS

7. Schemes Awaiting Prioritisation				
a)	14-20-1 C283 Stoford Various	<p>Although, as result of the administrative boundary review, South Newton and Stoford PC have become part of the Amesbury Community Area, a council wide decision was made that any schemes in progress would remain with the CATG's that approved them for implementation. Therefore, the delivery of the weight limit agreed on the C283 will remain with the SWW CATG for delivery.</p> <p>A meeting was held with the PC in June 2021 to discuss the requirements of the proposed Traffic Regulation Order (TRO). Comments on the draft TRO have been received from the PC. Draft TRO to be passed to the TRO for processing by the end of June.</p> <p>Note: Scheme temporarily removed from Top 5 Priority Schemes until TRO advert period has been completed.</p>	That the update is noted.	Area Board
b)	14-20-8 Teffont Junction of B3089 & C24 Various Measures	<p>HGV turning warning signs have been erected.</p> <p>The PC have supplied a document outlining the changes they believe are required. The changes proposed seem acceptable. However, it should be noted that the changes on the A303 will require the consent of Highways England (HE). HE have said that in principle they are happy to consider signage changes subject to seeing the proposed details.</p> <p>The group have agreed to review the EHD direction signs along the A303 and B3089. This will be progressed once made a Top 5 Priority Scheme.</p>	That the update is noted.	Area Board

c)	14-20-11 Fovant A30/High Street Kerb Realignment	<p>A feasibility study to consider additional measures to slow traffic travelling eastbound along the A30 as it enters Fovant High Street, including physical measures for tightening the eastbound entry radius into High Street without detriment to the triangle or other traffic flows at the junction.</p> <p>A topographical survey was completed as part of the previous study and could be used to draft proposals. A very rough estimate would be £7.5k but will depend upon design work.</p> <p>TP commented that whilst he was aware the PC had a number of schemes under consideration by the CATG he was particularly keen that work on this scheme be taken forward to address the safety concerns of local residents and enquired whether or not the design work could be issued to the council's consultants. PS advised that this would require the writing of a brief detailing the work needed to enable the consultants to provide a price for undertake the work. PS advised he would contact TP to discuss the requirements for the brief and work with him to produce one.</p>	That the update is noted.	Area Board
8. Other Schemes				
a)	14-20-5 Tisbury Cove Cottage, Weaveland Road	The temporary sign agreed has been erected. This aspect of the project is complete.	That the update is noted.	Area Board
b)	14-20-6 Sutton Mandeville HGV signs	The HGV warning signs have been erected and the issue should now be closed.	That the update is noted.	Area Board

9.	New Issues			
a)	14-21-1 Compton Chamberlayne Sign Decluttering	<p>The PC have requested sign decluttering works as you enter the village centre from the A30. Specifically they have requested the removal of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 x Village centre signs with advanced warning of the 7.5t weight limit restriction (These were only put in about 8 years ago and the PC feel that they are superfluous.) • 1 x Give way sign • 1 x Weight restriction terminal sign (There are currently two in situ). <p>The PC also wish to replace an existing directional sign directing motorists to Salisbury / Shaftesbury with a wooden finger post type sign at their own expense.</p> <p>The removal of the signs requested is acceptable, although there is a small risk that removal of the village centres may result in an increase in HGV traffic entering the village centre. In addition to the sign removals requested it would also be possible to combine the remaining weight restriction terminal sign and the adjacent height warning sign onto one new sign assembly. Doing so would slightly improve the visibility of the height warning sign.</p> <p>The cost removing the signs would be approximately £500. The cost of combining the weight restriction terminal sign and height warning sign onto one sign assembly would be approximately £750.</p> <p>The provision of a wooden directional sign can be progressed through a grant application to the SWW Area Board.</p> <p>DW thanked for the group for the information provided and advised that he would discuss the matter further with the PC to refine the proposed signing changes they would like to see undertaken and come back to the group in due course.</p>	That the update is noted.	Area Board

<p>b)</p>	<p>14-21-3</p> <p>The Avenue, Wilton Speeding Traffic</p>	<p>Concerns have been raised about the speed of traffic using The Avenue as it enters/exits Wilton. Wilton Town Council (WTC) has suggested the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhance the gateway near Marchment Close to give drivers a better cue that they are entering a 30mph speed restriction. • WTC could speak to the Police about setting up a Community Speed Watch (CSW), the speeds are high enough for some Police enforcement, but they may permit CSW to take place at a safe location along the road. • A location for a Speed Indicator Device (SID) could be found <p>There has been a longstanding issue with vehicles speeding as they enter and exit Wilton along The Avenue. The speeding problems that exist above the Park and Ride roundabout are a combination of the physical characteristics of the road (long and straight) and the length of 30mph speed limit in place about the Park and Ride roundabout being the wrong speed limit for that section of road based on Government guidance for introducing speed limits.</p> <p>The provision of traffic calming features is unlikely to be possible on the length of road in question, particularly in the vicinity of the speed limit terminal. Given the speed at which vehicles are entering/exiting Wilton the introduction of traffic calming features such as speed cushions could result in a reduction of road safety.</p> <p>In consideration of the above the section of The Avenue in question is better suited to a 40mph speed limit rather than the 30mph speed limit currently in place. Raising the speed limit would have minimal impact on the way in which motorists travel along the road but it would be a more realistic speed limit for the road and for residents to expect to see vehicles travelling at. Increasing the speed limit would allow for the creation of a buffer zone on the approach to the Park and Ride roundabout and would allow for a further gateway to be introduced on The Avenue.</p> <p>In term of the options put forward by WTC then there is already a substantial gateway present on The Avenue as you enter Wilton, which could be visually enhanced through the provision of white gates. The cost of providing the gates would be approximately £3,000. The other options suggested could be considered further and discussed with the necessary parties.</p> <p>The group discussed this matter the outcome of which was:</p>	<p>That the Area Board approves the allocation of £2,250 to allow this work to be undertaken.</p> <p>That the PC approves the allocation of £750 to allow this work to be undertaken.</p> <p>That the possibility of taking forward a cross CATG review of the 60mph speed limit on The Avenue is discussed with the Salisbury CATG.</p> <p>The need for maintenance works to the existing is raised with the Area Highway Engineer</p>	<p>Area Board</p> <p>PC</p> <p>PCH</p> <p>PCH</p>
-----------	---	--	---	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was no support for increasing the 30mph speed limit on The Avenue to 40mph (as outlined above) but there was support for considering a reduction in the 60mph speed limit on The Avenue to 50mph, but it was acknowledge that following the administrative boundary review any review of the speed limit in The Avenue would need to be taken forward as joint project between the South West Wiltshire and Salisbury Area Boards/CATGs. • There was support for the provision of white gates to enhance the existing gateway subject to confirmation from the PC that they would fund 25% of the cost of the works to provide them. (CATG Contribution £2250 / PC Contribution £750). • It was noted that the carriageway treatments that formed part of the existing gateway needed maintenance work. 		
c)	<p>14-21-4</p> <p>Russell Street, Wilton Amendments to Waiting Restrictions</p>	<p>A resident of Russell Street has requested the removal of a length of double yellow lines in front of the access to their garage and the provision of a driveway protection (white bar) marking to enable them to park in front of said access. This issue has been considered by WTC who agreed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WTC supports the resident's application for removal of the yellow lines. • WTC will not contribute financially to this scheme and would expect the full cost to be met by the resident. <p>The change requested by the resident could be implemented on the ground subject to the completion of a TRO amendment process to remove the double yellows lines. The estimated cost of removing the double yellow lines as a standalone project would be approximately £2957. This figure comprises £1,500 for the TRO amendment and £1,457 for the on-ground works. The costs could potentially be reduced by approximately £1,000 if undertaken alongside other TRO work.</p> <p>The group discussed this matter and whilst in principle they supported the removal of the length of double yellow lines requested they did not support the provision of a driveway protection marking in front of the garage on the grounds that the garage has been converted to a garden room and there is no need to keep access/egress to available. The group also declined to contribute any funding towards the works to remove the double yellows. The group asked that the resident be updated accordingly and for the issue to be closed.</p>	Resident to advised of the CATG's decision and the issue closed.	PS

10.	Other Schemes			
a)	Highway Maintenance Update	DB advised: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That revisions to the Area Highways Engineers boundaries following the administrative boundary changes that took effect at the local elections in May 2021 were still in the process of being implemented so it would still be some time before they fully came into effect. • The council had recently introduced a change to their rural grass cutting policy which meant that other than visibility splays (for road safety reasons) the annual grass cutting would be taking place in September from now on to enable wildflowers and grass to set seed and promote a more diverse local ecology. • Overall the council had received significant cut to its maintenance budget this year but the Parish Stewards and pothole filing works were continuing but there was an extremely small budget availability for centreline remarking this year with the works being taken forward by the Area Highway Office this year rather than the Traffic Engineering Team. 	That the update is noted.	Area Board
11.	Date of Next Meeting: 25th August 2021			

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to South West Wiltshire Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, the South West Wiltshire Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of £14.653.16 in the CATG allocation available for the 2021/2022 financial year.

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications

There are no specific safeguarding implications related to this report.

